

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
PAUL A. SERBINOWSKI
PEARNE & GORDON LLP
1801 EAST 9TH ST.
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) **09 MAR 2006**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US05/03239

28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)

28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): B32B 7.02; B41M 5/26, 3/12; B44C 1/165; D03D 15/00; G09G 5/00; G01K 3/02 and US Cl.: 156/230, 247,272.2; 345/204; 428/40.1, 40.9, 41.1, 41.6, 195, 212; 442/209, 210, 212

Applicant

KENT DISPLAYS INCORPORATED

COPY

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Bipin H Shalwala

Telephone No. 703 305-3616

Rugenia Zagar

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US05/03239

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

- ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing

- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format

- ☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in international application as filed.

- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/587591
IAP11 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 JUL 2006
International application No.
PCT/US05/03239

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-35</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-35</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-35</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-35 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Umeya et al., (US 2003/0031845).

Umeya shows a drapable transfer display film (In abstract) of plurality stacked layers that are prepared on, cured and lifted from a release surface and then transferred to a drapable substrate (In fig.1 and fig. 2 and in section 0010,0034 and 0039), the plurality of stacked layers having an least one liquid crystal layer and at least one electrically conductive layer near the liquid crystal layer (In section 0012, 0013, 0034, 0035). Umeya shows adhesive layer to bond the plurality of layers to an adhesive (in section 0037); transfer display film and drape coefficient less than 100%) in section 0051); a casting layer applied on the release surface on which other layers (In fig. 1) and electrical insulation layer located between the first electrically conductive layer and the liquid crystal layer (in section 0054) and layer having left and right twist cholesteric materials (in section 0036).